


Introduction to Fascism

Edition 1.1, completed 10JAN2020 by A. Wyatt Mann

Solar Order
Publication
- 2020 -

Contents

Preface

Fascism is one of the least understood topics of study in human history. When asked to define fascism or national socialism most people simply cannot do so off the top of their head. Most asked will refer to definitions from academic institutions. These assert that fascism, like other “political" concepts cannot be precisely defined. Most self proclaimed experts on the subject of these things cannot even agree on a definition.

The current public consensus on the nature of fascism and National Socialism is a reductive “totalitarianism, xenophobia, etc.” Actual fascists know this is a terrible definition that fails to capture its causal nature.

The objective of this publication is to clearly define what fascism and National Socialism is, why it matters, and what should be done with this newfound understanding. We want this to be the framework an individual can use to understand what fascism is from the proverbial horse’s mouth. There have been many attempts to do this but none have been clear enough, and many have definitely not been concise.

What do Fascists See?

A person who is not a fascist might try to define fascism as an ideology. This is false — fascism is a worldview. A worldview is an individual's perception of the world as well as their reasoning for the mechanisms that influence the things they perceive. Without a worldview one cannot then idealize, or conceptualize what they want their world to become. They cannot study their ideals or formulate an ideology, and they cannot enact this ideology to effect any change on the world.

The worldview is the foundation of an individual's beliefs and motivations. Everyone has a worldview; this isn't some mystical sixth sense. The core elements of each individual's worldview in conjunction with their self defined purpose stemming from their worldview allows for the grouping together of people's shared worldviews under labels like fascism.

What does every fascist agree on that makes them fascists?
The core views of every fascist are:

NATURAL TRUTH

- There can be only one truth and it can be understood through observation and logic.
- The workings of the natural world leave no room for falsehoods.
- Humans are a part of nature.
- Nature is biological, nature's behavior is innate and full of life.
- As is observable in nature, growth is sought through struggle.

ORGANIC SOCIETY

- Human society is organically structured just like its core component, humans.
- Like the organism is made from the organelle, cell, organ, and finally organism so too are all things.
- Human social order is naturally in the individual, the bloodline, the tribe, the ethnic line, the subspecies (Race), or the species.
- The health of these higher orders is dependent on the health of its components, and vice versa.
- The highest order a human can serve while maintaining a grasp of identity and reason is their race.
- The maintainers of a society are the spiritually/naturally motivated and self sacrificial minority. These are called by many contemporary philosophers "overmen".

CHOICE AND THE INDIVIDUAL

- In order to be an overman one must want to be an overman, and must want to struggle for his greater self.
- Each human chooses to either adhere to nature and struggle with it, or deny nature and struggle against it.
- Each human chooses to either struggle with social order, or to struggle against it.
- Each human has a choice to adhere to the facts about race or reject truth.
- Fate is malleable. The results of any struggle depend on the individual.

MORALITY

- The ultimate good is to choose to struggle with nature, to struggle with social order, to struggle for their constituent peoples, in order to better one's people as is necessary for their growth and or evolution.

- The Greater Ego, the fate of the constituent unit is more important than the fate of the individual. Sacrifice is the greatest virtue to a fascist.

Why is fascism a worldview?

Academics and other intellectuals define the beliefs of past or current movements like the NSDAP simply by observing governmental decisions and policies, which, far from serving as a definition of fascism, were more a result of circumstance or background of the peoples implementing the philosophy in question. It is like proposing that cynicism is an ideology about living out of a barrel because its most infamous proponent Diogenes did so. His living out of a barrel surely was inspired by his ascetic/cynical worldview and search for meaning, but the barrel was a means to an end in his own journey, not at all the motivation nor the lens with which he saw the world.

This does not mean that the ways in which a society operating under a worldview responds to circumstance or tackles problems cannot provide clues as to what they believe, of course. Germany under the NSDAP promoted programs related to the physical fitness of their youths. This is perfectly in line with the worldview's tenet that a society must ensure the health of its individuals to ensure the overall society's health. Of course, no one can truly get anywhere by assuming that their core belief is simply to promote physical fitness to youths — the worldview itself prompts the action. Concealing the underlying worldview is what modern political science majors and other academics actually manage to do; suffice to say they put the cart before the horse.

Are fascism and national socialism separate worldviews/ideologies?

National Socialism is also Fascism, both are the same worldview. A true fascist is a national socialist and vice versa. Though on occasion people use National Socialism when they want to clarify that they place the white race at the top of the hierarchy, ultimately the distinction between the two terms is user preference. If you need proof as to national socialism being a worldview, even as conceptualize by the NSDAP, you need not go further than reading Mein Kampf(1).

How do I know truth?

You can understand this concept by asking yourself how you would prove 2+2=4. In order to prove this concept to someone who has no concept of mathematics, you would show that 2 objects, moved over to 2 other objects, indeed equal 4 total objects. This equation is demonstrable with any set of objects, and is also something one can prove mentally. It is true, as it can be proven and reasoned, it is universal because you can use anything to prove it, it is unchangeable relative to method and venue.

Thus is the nature of understanding universal truth. We can know truth by what we can observe and prove by science and by philosophy, by world and by spirit.

How does this connect to fascism?

One can assume many things through further observation of nature which, although more complex, will remain manifestations of the same natural truth of 2+2=4. The relationship of man and woman, for example, is seen through the same observation as a bundle of four rocks dividing into twos. Despite any lies told about the matter, the facts can be seen within beings and their nature. It is the nature of a healthy man/bird/wolf/fish to procreate. Therefore we can assume it is part of the natural order that man and woman are paired for procreation.

Hierarchies too are natural and manifest within the natural state of almost all beings. We can observe their presence within the natural order. Fascism is ultimately built upon this observation and therefore infallible as a worldview. Unlike man made ideologies, it accepts the truth of Mankind manifest through its natural state.

WHAT DO FASCISTS WANT?

Now that you (hopefully) understand the core worldview, you can see what Fascists strive to enact in the world.

The way society is organized is, as we've said before, dependent on the people who follow it. In America, true Fascists want society in North America to be based on general racial grounds, since ethnicities aren't coherent there. In Europe, though, the White race can be split down into ethnicities, rather than all together as "Europeans".

This ideal of unity within one’s race is sought after by all Fascists alive today. Fascists value a defined blood kinfolk among us, a kinfolk which must be preserved by all means necessary.

Fascists also wish to put hardship on the kin in order to achieve their sovereignty and furtherance. In nature strong animals survive and reproduce through struggle, and man is not exempt from this law. Not to say war is needed, but in times of no scarcity, there needs to still be a drive to further the people. The main author of this passage would like to remind you that complacency = death. Still waters collect mosquitos and still stones collect moss. The other two points explain themselves. We will use this section to explain certain common solutions or problems fascists find themselves facing in the modern day.

Why do fascists hate non-Aryan immigrants?

The simple answer is that ethnic unity is more important for the efficiency and trust of a working community than anything else: the Working Peoples Community (WPC), the Volksgemeinschaft as it was called in Nazi Germany, relies solely on the complete togetherness of a community: cultural, racial and linguistic differences prohibit this, as they always have, and as they always will.
To make a short answer longer, we will analyze why ethnic differences cause friction in communities. The primary reason for this is that we can maximize the friendship, altruism and trust between communities if they are biologically similar (2,3), i.e. of one race, rather than a multiethnic community. In such, we negate the argument that fascism only cares for IQ scores, and that thus we should introduce non-white members to our communities, simply because we could! People of all cultures, worldwide, have a fixation on the acceptance of their own. Even leftist groups like in Brittany have been fixed on nationalism, and independence. The very existence of leftist ethnic nationalism sort of proves the point, even without the sources provided: the Marxists themselves see our reasoning!

If ethnic minorities cannot intermix with you, why not allow them to replace you?

They do not, never have, and never will, deserve to. Think of all that racial Aryan civilizations have achieved in the past 4000 years or so: from the invention of the very transport you utilise every day, to Charles Babbage’s first Computer, from the highest Cathedrals and sacred mounts, to the furthest spanning nations like the Roman Republic, the British Empire, etc. We are first, the East-Asians second, and everyone else, below. The modern Left would consider everything Whites do as acts of dastardly imperialism, reigning over the lesser races, hyper-aggressive and domineering over all land that we find. The only answer we have that we as Aryans with a rich heritage of conquest, can

have, is “and?” We’ve no reason to apologize for what we have done to any non-Aryan race, for the only true laws in this world are the rules of nature: anything else is merely the threat of force to back up a claim, a lie: “no, you mustn’t do [xyz] because, er-... because… because if you do, we’ll target you and your families!”

This is simply how the world works, and without those conquests, without blood being spilled on soil in Europe and abroad, the very phone you use to call people chuds on reddit would not exist. If anything, you should be grateful. For example: the Rocket, the tool which the United States used to propel themselves to the moon, was overwhelmingly developed by Nazi scientists snagged from Operation Paperclip. The worlds’ source of genius is necessity, and what makes more necessity than war? The world is currently in a state of stagnation and decay because the Aryan way of life has been demolished since the two world wars, and only Total Aryan Victory can bring us back to this constant struggle and progress. We deserve to live, and continue living, as we had for thousands of years. It is humanity’s only hope for a future without avoidable proxy-wars and filibustering political schemes. There is only Fascism that can save us.

If immigrants aren’t okay, why are Aryan homosexuals considered subversive? Who cares what they do in their own bedrooms as long as they keep it to themselves?

If only the world worked like that. If only everything about homosexuality and other abnormal behavior was only limited to who they fucked, as long as it were “trad” and “conservative” in nature. In truth, homosexuality - and other forms of “gayness” - string along a slew of disorders which are, apparently, inherent within homosexuality itself. Whilst many see the debate as whether homosexuality is a choice, or whether it is genetic, the fact of the matter is this: homosexuals are more likely to have been molested in their youth (4), which some allege is a cause for their homosexuality. They are more likely to be in interracial relationships than heterosexuals, which is in direct contrast with the necessity of the WPC to be of one race (5), and they are also more likely to be unfaithful (6), which clashes with the idea of faithful marriage being a good source of happiness for both parties and the mental well-being of a child. Homosexuality itself acts in staunch contrast to everything Fascists believe in about a community’s wellness.

Things such a homosexuality exist in nature, are we to follow these things or change them?

Not all things that are natural are necessarily good. As part of nature ourselves we must also act in accordance to what can and will improve us or adhere to ideals that will make us stronger. For instance yes homosexuality is natural; however, it provides no biological benefit and in fact hinders us. Consider WHY it exists - hedonism, it feels good to have intercourse. This is the purest and simplest natural reason for it. Knowing this we can now reason that it is bad because of its effects. If all it can do for us is provide basic hedonist pleasure but causes us to reproduce at a lower rate is it really good? If it is conducive to a reduction in discipline and allows for the horrors of abusing young victims(4) to manifest which all are damaging is it worth letting exist? No. It should be mitigated where possible. This is true for many things that occur naturally. As part of nature and as maintainers of human society we can and must maintain our environments and adjust ourselves to better our condition. Some things must be curbed, some things must be promoted.

Why is the fascist worldview unconducive to democracy?

Ultimately forms of governance are changeable. In the true fascist folkish society they are thought of and used as tools solely rather than a platform of unchangeable principles or the equivalent of sports team brands. There can be "fascist democracy" however in the case of democracy or anarchy or any other mode of governance that portray the masses as self determinable self determination is simply not reached. Most people are what we, the authors, and most other modern fascists call "lemmings". Lemmings/philosophical zombies/NPCs are simply people who for whatever

reason don't have any advanced desires in life. They want what's theirs, to be comfortable, sheltered, and not dead. We do not hate them for this, and they are the vast majority of humans. It is reckoned that this is a natural and historically consistent occurrence and must simply be worked around. We, the overmen, in the interests of our race must ensure that these peoples do in fact remain fed, sheltered, and productive. In the event that these majority "mindless" individuals are left to make society-wide decisions they are most likely to vote based on what thinking individuals tell them to vote for or will simply throw away their decisions. Both of these eventualities obviously make democracy ineffective and defeat its purpose. In the case of anarchy the strong willed thinkers will just simply dominate their peers and the more industrious and imperious ones will ironically forge authoritative dominions.

Why do fascists hate Jews? Are Jews really a threat?

The Jewish quasi-race has been a natural adversary of all other peoples' due to their extremely paranoid cultural program that when facing outsiders they must organize to destroy said outsiders since they are a threat. Fascists do not disapprove of the reasoning for their ill intent towards others and simply say, "Bring it on!" They are simply our enemies. They will to be so it is. They are indeed a threat because despite numbering in the few millions their tribes have infiltrated many high offices in the system. The minority of strong willed thinking of them are essentially placed in a perfect spot to enact their will, and there are very few racially conscious whites or otherwise in similar positions to oppose them in most places. It is a sad state of affairs we should intend to fix!

Why do fascists hate Christianity

The simple answer is because fascists at most believe in Christ, and despise the idol worship death cult that modern Christianity appears to have become. The modern church preaches inaction as well as tolerance of evil since an idol will come from the heavens and save them. This is unfortunately an issue with many pagan faiths too however Christianity isn't hated by fascists, only the mockery of the modern church and what passes as worship of God. If you consider yourself a christian and actually have faith and also believe yourself to be part of the natural progression of things not just an observer then you can indeed also be folkish and fascist. A strong mix of spirituality and material awareness is desired.

Is Satanism compatible with Fascism?

Satanism is about empowering onesself. If anything the more occult minded fascists may be considered Luciferian/Hermetic/Heliognostic due to the desire to elucidate on any given subject to anyone willing to listen however for the sake of simply answering the question - no. True satanists do not consider themselves compatible with fascism/ns and vice versa. It is like asking is a man can also be a woman or if the sun can be the moon. Ego and Non-Ego are different things.

WHAT CAN I DO?

In these materialistic, egocentric times one must ask himself: if he is folk-centric and wants to return mankind to nature’s ways rather than continue to exploit and defy nature, how is victory possible?

The unfortunate and disappointing reality is that it will only be through the overthrow of any incumbent opposing powers. These powers are other conscious overmen who have more egoistic or generally destructive (whether intentionally or not) views. We must fight without mercy and use every effective tactic to undermine the destructive systems and leaders that control their sandcastle societies. And we emphasize the phrase sandcastle societies. If even 10,000 conscious men, which is less than .1% of all overmen on this planet (and far less than any standing military) made a genuine united effort against the system it would crumble in an appallingly short amount of time.

Through assassination of key leadership and sabotage of economic elements, the system would become so fatigued it would be forced to capitulate. At the very least, it would become weak enough for a snowball effect to occur, regardless of exactly which dissident group and worldview began that incline. At the time of writing this document there is a pandemic sweeping the globe. Even if it doesn't actually murder millions of people globally, it will at the very least destroy or destabilize the, existent as of January 2020, Peoples' Republic of China. You can and will see from this how easily even the most oppressive states in history can be toppled, even by simple acts of nature. If a mere pandemic can do this, imagine what a dedicated, organized group could achieve. The USA is no different than China in this, no matter how scary these oppressive states seem to be.

The authors of this document would like to dissuade, of course, the violent overthrow of any given government that makes up the global system. Just kidding. Remember, struggle is how humanity will improve. Blood makes the green grass grow.

Our numbers at this time our admittedly pitiful, and far too low for us to make any impact without extreme and self destructive centralization of leadership. Active and armed insurrection at this time would just lead to a few failed skirmishes and ultimately would kill off any hope for the future. A potential objective of a fledgling revolutionary fascist may simply be to increase numbers.

We do this by finding like minds, men who are just like us with empowered spirits, who care about the fate of their race and the rest of the world. Do not be distraught by the 90% of people who are incapable of following this higher calling and mode of life. They are the spectators who will neither help nor hinder. Even among the thinking minority there are tares to be separated and tossed from the wheat. Always keep in mind you could search for years and only ever find one friend. Always know that one radical friend is more valuable than ten untrustworthy edgelords who would rather role-play in the woods as a Satanist prepper militia. (Yes, these exist. Steer clear of fakes and tryhards.)

Another avenue of struggle against the current state of the world is to simply step around the chaotic and decaying system by finding a permanent mate of similar lineage and starting a family off the grid. This is called by most modern fascists the "dropout" method. Some consider it cowardice;

however ultimately it is a valid tactic. As long as you and your partner are reproducing and actually homesteading somewhere, you're increasing the racial or ethnic population as well as instilling good values in future good men. It is unlikely that your children will be as malleable as the mindless 90%. (In theory this can also be done while being nomadic, but we haven't heard of anyone doing this yet. We commend the adventurous spirit of anyone attempting this.)

Finally, there is as stated previously, the actual armed struggle. This needs no more explanation, however keep in mind the larger your units get the less easy it is to command them. It is also harder to maintain operations security and morale among other things. Consider reading literature by successful revolutionary guerrillas of the past and present for guidance. Even Mao Zedong and Ho Chi Minh have things to teach us. Become a principled professional warrior if you choose this route, and tread carefully. Always remember the sword in your hand is not the only thing you must keep sharp, so stay sharp your mind and spirit and never quit, accept defeat, or leave behind a fallen comrade.

So to recap: one has 3 options to help along the struggle against decadence and the opposition system:

- Increase numbers at all costs
- Drop out of modern society and attempt to increase your racial population/instill in your children good values, useful knowledge and skills.
- Attack the system directly.

Pure, Sure, Dure.

WHAT TO EXPECT

In the future assuming the best case scenario that we do indeed increase numbers to the point where we can overpower the incumbent confederated regime of the planet we can also expect these interests and individuals to react to our power grabbing and politik. They will obviously want to secure and protect their interests so we can expect they will forcibly act against us and attempt to defend their key assets from any of our assaults. Expect so called "democratic" and "free" governments to begin cracking down on philosophies and political ideologies they deem to be a "threat to society". In fact they've already begun this seeing current American legislation. Do not be dissuaded by the big scary powers-at-be, comrade. Do not be intimidated even if you're a communist Jew reading this pamphlet. The system is not as all-powerful as you think. Key infrastructure and resource stockpile failures alone are enough to bring this dastardly alliance to its knees. Or at least its loyal servants. Always remember, death is never the end. Fight honorably, nothing is dishonorable in a war for existence.

1488 Boots on the Ground, Gas the Kikes, RaceWar Now!

APPENDIX

(1) What translations of Mein Kampf are good to read?
At the time of the writing of this document the two best, known English translations for Mein Kampf are the Ford or Stalag versions. There are also at this time updated Ford versions. The Murphy and Mannheim translations are considered badly done and therefore, by the standards of those writing this document, unserviceable.

(2)
British Journal of Psychology, "Kinship and altruism: a cross-cultural experimental study.", The National Center for Biotechnology Information, May 2007, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17456276.

(3)
British Journal of Psychology, “Altruism in social networks: evidence for a 'kinship premium'.”, The National Center for Biotechnology Information, May 2013, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23560672.

(4)
California School of Professional Psychology, “Comparative data of childhood and adolescence molestation in heterosexual and homosexual persons.”, National Center for Biotechnology Information, October 2001, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11501300.

(5)
Lundquist, Jennifer, “Is Love (Color) Blind? The Economy of Race among Gay and Straight Daters”, Social Forces, Volume 93, Issue 4, June 2015, Pages 1423–1449, https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/sov008, published May 2015.

(6)
Laumann, The Social Organization of Sexuality, 216; McWhirter and Mattison, The Male Couple: How Relationships Develop (1984): 252-253; Wiederman, "Extramarital Sex," 170.